

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/085,068	05/26/1998	ALAN J. WEINBERGER	#98PS039	6403
26383 75	590 08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
ROCKWELL COLLINS, INC.			TRUONG, LECHI	
400 COLLINS	AL PROPERTY DEPART ROAD NE	MENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S 124-323			2126	
CEDAR RAPII	CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52498		DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



BEST AVAILABLE CO-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/085,068	WEINBERGER ET	AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LeChi Truong	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final th	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
BEST AVAILABLE COPY SUPY						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argument filed 7/07/2004. In the remark, applicant argued about the second reference (Griffin) teaches the limitation "dispatcher" (1) " The Griffin reference has a filling date of June 29, 1998. The Applicant's filing date is May 26, 1998".

Examiner respectfully traversed applicant 's remark:

As to point (1), The Griffin reference cannot be used for teaching the limitation dispatcher. However, The main reference (Schwartz) also teaches dispatcher (a link server services provides, col 2, ln 35-36-38).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY